

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/785,459	02/23/2004	Robert Peterson	200202.404	8561	
31740	7590 07/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LOOP INT	ELLECTUAL PROPE	HUNTER,	HUNTER, ALVIN A		
P.O. BOX 2: SEATTLE,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE,			3711		

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/785,459	PETERSON, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe	ebruary 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construct	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freitag et al. (USPN 6479560) in view of Puckett et al. (USPN 4836552).

In regards to claim 21, Freitag et al. discloses a foaming composition comprising an ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer, a thermoplastic elastomer, and a blowing agent (See Columns 5, lines 25 through 41, and Column 6, lines 6 through 54). Freitag et al. discloses that the foam composition may be used for a number of purposes but does not explicitly state that the foam may be used within a golf ball (See Summary of the Invention). Puckett et al. disclose a golf ball in which is foamed for reducing flight (See Abstract). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have the composition molding into a one-piece golf ball, or for that matter, any similar ball-type article, as taught by Puckett et al., in order to produce a golf ball with reduced flight distance.

In regards to claim 22, Freitag et al. discloses the thermoplastic elastomer is a styrene tri-block copolymer (See Column 6, lines 32 through 54).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/10/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the above combination does not teach or suggest making the foam a golf ball. The examiner disagrees.

The fact that the composition is molded into a golf ball is merely intended use.

Frietag discloses the composition claimed by the applicant and notes that the composition may be used for a number of purposes. Puckett et al discloses a foam material in which is molded into a golf ball. Applicant merely manipulated the shape of the material. For this reason, the above rejection has been furnished.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/785,459 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

4411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700